

**PUBLIC PETITIONS COMMITTEE CONSIDERATION OF PE1179  
QUESTIONS ARISING FROM COMMITTEE MEETINGS  
(See 'Written submissions' for responses)**

**TUESDAY 20 MARCH 2012**

**Scottish Government—**

- Can I refer you to the comments made by John Wilson during the discussion of the petition with regards to the comments made by the petitioner in relation to the social care side of treatment and support lagging far behind the clinical side. The petitioner states that she does not recognise a similar strategic approach (as with the Clinical side) being taken on by the Social Care providers. She also hopes that similar progress can be made for Social Care as it was by the MCN for ABI in setting standards etc. Can you provide information to the Committee on how you are ensuring that this is taken forward as although it has been touched on, the Petitioner has stated that there is little evidence of any improvement?

**All local authorities**

- The Public Petitions Committee is currently considering the above petition on Acquired Brain Injury (ABI). The Committee received information from the Association of Directors of Social Work (ADSW) which highlighted the approach taken in West Dunbartonshire in relation to the provision of social care and support for people with ABI's (PE1179/AA on the petition web page). The Committee now wishes to hear from all local authorities on how they each provide social care and support for people with ABI's.

**TUESDAY 29 NOVEMBER 2011**

**Scottish Government—**

- The Committee would be interested to obtain an update on the progress of the development of the Managed Clinical Network from a „clinical“ network to a „care“ network.
- The Committee draws your attention to the comments made by John Wilson during the discussion on the petition *“There is genuine concern that, although it is fine for the Government to say that social work departments and health boards should have all those services in place, the reality, and the petitioner’s experience, is that the support is not there”* and seeks your views on this point.
- The Committee also invites you to respond to the other issues raised in the petitioners submission of 17 November 2011 ([PE1179/Y](#))

**TUESDAY 4 MAY 2010—**

### **Scottish Government—**

- the Committee draws your attention to the issue raised in point 2 of the petitioner's response regarding the standards for a Managed Clinical Network for ABI and points out a contradiction between what is said in the document and the reasons given for not being able to define ABI as a separate category. Is there a contradiction?
- what is your response to the petitioner's comments on your recent letter that "awareness does not equate to the execution and implementation of ABI services"?

### **Association of Directors of Social Work—**

- the Committee draws your attention to the points made in the most recent response of the Scottish Government and the petitioner regarding their concerns around the lack of social care services for people with ABI? What are your views on this and do you have any suggestions on how things could be improved?

### **TUESDAY 9 FEBRUARY 2010—**

#### **Scottish Government—**

The Committee would like to invite you to comment on the petitioners latest submissions of 21 January and 5 February 2010.